

Report Documentation Page				Form Approved OMB No. 0704-0188	
Public reporting burden for the collection of information is estimated to average 1 hour per response, including the time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, gathering and maintaining the data needed, and completing and reviewing the collection of information. Send comments regarding this burden estimate or any other aspect of this collection of information, including suggestions for reducing this burden, to Washington Headquarters Services, Directorate for Information Operations and Reports, 1215 Jefferson Davis Highway, Suite 1204, Arlington VA 22202-4302. Respondents should be aware that notwithstanding any other provision of law, no person shall be subject to a penalty for failing to comply with a collection of information if it does not display a currently valid OMB control number.					
1. REPORT DATE <b>2003</b>		2. REPORT TYPE		3. DATES COVERED <b>00-00-2003 to 00-00-2003</b>	
4. TITLE AND SUBTITLE <b>Chief Scientist Speaks of the Future. Interview with Dr. Thomas Killion</b>				5a. CONTRACT NUMBER	
				5b. GRANT NUMBER	
				5c. PROGRAM ELEMENT NUMBER	
6. AUTHOR(S)				5d. PROJECT NUMBER	
				5e. TASK NUMBER	
				5f. WORK UNIT NUMBER	
7. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES) <b>Army Space &amp; Missile Defense Command, Army Forces Strategic Command, Redstone Arsenal, AL, 35809</b>				8. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER	
9. SPONSORING/MONITORING AGENCY NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES)				10. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S ACRONYM(S)	
				11. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S REPORT NUMBER(S)	
12. DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY STATEMENT <b>Approved for public release; distribution unlimited</b>					
13. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES					
14. ABSTRACT					
15. SUBJECT TERMS					
16. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF:			17. LIMITATION OF ABSTRACT <b>Same as Report (SAR)</b>	18. NUMBER OF PAGES <b>3</b>	19a. NAME OF RESPONSIBLE PERSON
a. REPORT <b>unclassified</b>	b. ABSTRACT <b>unclassified</b>	c. THIS PAGE <b>unclassified</b>			



# Chief Scientist Speaks of the Future

Interview with  
Dr. Thomas Killion

**D**r. Thomas H. Killion is the Acting Deputy Assistant Secretary for Research and Technology/Chief Scientist, responsible for the Army Science and Technology program. Prior to this designation, Dr. Killion served as the Director for Technology in the Office of the Assistant Secretary of the Army for Acquisition, Logistics and Technology under the Deputy ASA for Research and Technology. In this position, he was responsible for oversight and coordination for the majority of the Army's Applied Research program and all of its Advanced Development program. He also co-chaired the Warfighter Technical Council and managed the Science and Technology Objective and Advanced Technology Demonstration approval process for the DAS(R&T). These and other outstanding credentials made him an obvious choice for ASJ to interview about the future of Space technology. He spoke by phone from the Pentagon to ASJ's MAJ Laura Kenney on that topic.

**Q: From hot air balloons used during the Civil War for aerial surveillance, to satellites providing similar benefits today — Space has been a combat multiplier since its inception. How important a role do you see it playing in current operations?**

A: Immense. Bringing technology to bear that we've invested on in the past, we see the results in widely used space technology today. For example, people invested in basic research in the atomic clock 50 years ago that led to high precision timing. The Global Positioning Satellite system that we use to geo-locate vehicles, people, and targets today is based on that research.

As far as current applications, in addition to using GPS for navigation and precision targeting, there is of course the traditional intelligence function, such as imaging, which maps out terrain, infrastructure and forces, allowing us to plan efficiently and effectively

in the application of our force capabilities. As usual, that has been critical.

In communications, Space is absolutely essential, allowing us to remain in constant contact, both in theater and back to the U.S., using both military and commercial assets.

Less obvious, but just as important, is how we utilize Space in the field of meteorology. The Army has invested a lot of resources in learning how best to exploit technology under varying weather conditions and to use that knowledge to guide our use of sensors and weapons.

**Q: In future operations?**

A: I don't see the importance of any of the above diminishing; in fact I only see it increasing.

In communications, limitations we've had in the past have been infrastructure for Space-based comms, such as fairly large tracking antennas. Electronically scanning antennas will enable us to export low profile satellite communications technology down to the much lower levels.

For intelligence — advances in miniaturization of processing, enabling high capacity laptops and even advanced Personal Digital Assistants (PDAs), can literally put imagery and other intelligence information in the hands of the individual soldier. Very Dick Tracy.

Meteorology has seen tremendous advances in the modeling domain. We're already performing pretty well at large scale ... I see us in the future getting better at providing high resolution local data... small scale right down to where the soldier is, enabling him to maximize and take advantage of those weather conditions from an operational sense.

Navigation tools will also advance significantly due to miniaturization; we've already got amazing

handheld systems.

**Q: In what particular area do you see it as most valuable — communications, intelligence, weaponry? Why?**

A: I don't see any single one as the most valuable; rather I see a convergence of functions over time — a synergy of improvements in each area. Imagine a weapon that, in addition to performing as a weapon hitting a target, simultaneously feeds us intelligence on the target area. Communicating back to us intelligence and navigational information on the terrain, target and weather — all this in a single system. Advancements in terms of communications have sped up such concepts as using an artillery shell as an intelligence-gathering device. It expands the envelope of data sources available to the networked force.

**Q: What are drawbacks/showstoppers, if any, to integrating and utilizing Space in such ways?**

A: I'd use the term challenges rather than drawback or showstopper. The first such challenge is bandwidth, simply put. Our capabilities are expanding faster than the bandwidth available. We're answering that in various ways: being smarter in terms of data distribution (minimizing the load on the network), real-time bandwidth management, dynamically matching information demands to available bandwidth, and using more efficient antennas (such as directional vs. omni-directional systems). So we're working hard to meet that challenge, to ensure the warfighters have access to the information they need.

Another challenge is making sure that the information we receive from these great assets is accurate, that any adversary is not deceiving the system into believing inaccurate info (similar to how meaconing in navigation systems causes location errors). We need to prevent intrusion, deception or disruption and we're also addressing that through techniques that maximize, maintain and improve the integrity of our access to Space assets.

**Q: Science fiction writers and artists — notably Jules Verne and Leonardo da Vinci — conceived of ways to exploit Space decades, even centuries, before such usage became reality. Do you believe such exponential development to be still possible, or are there already visible limits set by the laws of physics, etc. Will there be changes as exponential as we've seen this century, in twenty years? In fifty?**

A: The short answer is yes — the changes will be exponential. To expound, a noted futurist, Ray Kurzweil, has postulated that advances in scientific knowledge and technology accelerate in an exponen-

tial manner. He believes that the explosion we have seen in technology in the last couple of decades is but a harbinger of what is to come.

Kurzweil believes that we will explore Space further, but probably through robots. He believes that there will come a time when, due to the expansion of computer processing, it will rival human intelligence. Nano probes to network and map the human brain could theoretically allow us to download an individual's intelligence. It would then be reasonable to send that intelligence into Space to explore for us, where perhaps our more fragile biological systems could not endure. We could then explore Space virtually. All of this is theoretical or speculation, but I do believe we will see changes as startling and profound in our future as we have seen in our past.

**Q: Can you give us an unclassified view of some Space technology under research and/or development currently?**

A: The Army's S&T investment in Space focuses on the tools that we use to exploit the communications, navigation and intelligence information available to us from Space systems, rather than on the satellite systems themselves. Again, the rapid advances in miniaturization of technology play a large role in current development. One example of what we're currently working on is shrinking an Inertial Measurement Unit — used in navigation — to a fraction of its current volume. Using micro-electro-mechanical systems (MEMS) technology, the goal is to provide a low cost device for munitions that provides adequate accuracy and much lower volume so it can be used in even very small munitions, greatly increasing their precision. Where Space technology comes in is that we are also looking at integrating GPS into the system to provide real time location updates in-flight, further increasing the accuracy of the weapon.

A couple of other relevant technology efforts are the work on satellite communications antennas for comms-on-the move, mentioned earlier, and techniques for more rapidly exploiting imagery and other Space-based intelligence to aid in maintaining battlespace awareness.

**Q: How adversarial do you see Space technology as becoming? Do you foresee actual Space wars, or Space-based weaponry, despite the current treaties forbidding such, in our lifetime?**

A: The answers to those questions really depend on the Space capabilities of our potential adversaries. Many people around the world have access to Space-

*(See Scientist, page 47)*

## Scientist ... from Page 17

-based imagery and communications from commercial or military sources. Denying such access may be necessary in certain situations.

With regard to direct confrontation in space, either anti-satellite weapons or satellite-based warfare, there are probably not too many countries with those capabilities. The recent growth of the Chinese Space program is a concern. In any case, what we need to do is to make our systems as resistant to threat as possible. This means on the ground as well as in Space. And we have to make them resistant to Space weather as well as potential adversaries, the recent solar flares providing a case in point.

I don't see Space wars hap-

pening anytime soon, but possibly Space-based weapons.

### **Q: How can technology be used to protect the sovereignty of Space?**

A: I think the best answer to that is ensuring that our systems will survive in the harsh environment of Space, be that Space weather or attack. As we ensure our access, and work to provide better protection to counter any threat of attack, we will be doing our part to ensure that Space remains free.

### **Q: How deniable to adversaries are the Space benefits we are presently using?**

A: That's directly in proportion to how well we design our systems,

and how well we protect them. Encryption, jamming, deception, and protection — these are all tools by which we deny any adversaries greater or even equal access.

### **Q: Realistically, how large a role do you see Space playing in future conflicts?**

A: A very large continuing role. Space has become an integral part of how we conduct the warfight. We depend on it for precision navigation, intelligence, meteorology, and communications. Expanding and exploiting the uses of Space to an ever-increasing degree will define how well we support our forces, in logistics as well as operations.